

Appl. No. : 08/926,277  
Filed : September 5, 1997

90  
72. The device of Claim 71, said thin, sublimed molecular film being tris(8-quinolinolato) aluminum (III).

91  
73. The device of Claim 71, said organic electroluminescent device further comprising a light-emitting polymer deposited on a transparent anode.

92  
74. The device of Claim 73, said light-emitting polymer being one of the group of poly (p-phenylene vinylene), soluble polythiophene derivatives, or polyanilene.

93  
75. The device of Claim 71, said transparent anode being comprised of a transparent base substrate coated with indium tin oxide.

94  
76. A system for generating an image of a relief object comprising:

an electroluminescent device having an electrode;

an electrical current source, said electrical current source having one lead coupled to said electrode of said electroluminescent device and a second lead for coupling to a relief object in proximity to said electroluminescent device so that current coupled from said current source to said relief object is a function of a surface structure of said relief object, whereby more intense light is generated by areas of said electroluminescent device strongly coupled to said current from said relief object and less intense light is generated by areas of said electroluminescent device weakly coupled to said current from said relief object to form an image of the relief object; and

a one-to-one sensor array located such that said generated light is sensed by said one-to-one sensor array.

95  
77. The system of Claim 76, wherein the one-to-one sensor array is in direct contact with the electroluminescent device, and located on a side of the electroluminescent device opposite the relief object.

#### REMARKS

After entry of the foregoing amendments, Claims 45-77 are pending in the application. In a final Office Action in the previous application the Examiner objected to the term "single electrode electroluminescent device." Instead of this term, the present claims recite an "electroluminescent device." If the Examiner has any questions regarding the foregoing

- Appl. No. : 08/926,277  
Filed : September 5, 1997

amendments, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned to arrange a phone interview to resolve the Examiner's concerns or to resolve any difficulties with the claim language

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: Oct 20, 2000

By: 

William H. Nieman  
Registration No. 30,201  
Attorney of Record  
620 Newport Center Drive  
Sixteenth Floor  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\MOH\MOH-3921.DOC,sb